

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MACHINING PARAMETERS ON SURFACE
ROUGHNESS OF ALUMINUM ALLOYS BASED ON Si AND Mg CONTENT

ABDUL HAMEED BALOCH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT MACHINING PARAMETERS ON SURFACE
ROUGHNESS OF ALUMINUM ALLOYS BASED ON Si AND Mg CONTENT

ABDUL HAMEED BALOCH

A report submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for Master's Degree (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2015

To
My beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all I would like to thank Allah for this valuable time given, where I had the chance to understand about His wonders on materials.

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Prof Dr. Jamaliah Binti Idris for her guidance, patience and support. I have been extremely lucky to have a supervisor who cared so much about my work, and who responded to my questions and queries so promptly.

My thanks are also due to the staff, faculty members, and technicians of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, who contributed to my research.

I am most grateful to my wife, family and close friends for their infinite support, patience and encouragements during these years.

ABSTRACT

Aluminum alloys are being extensively used almost in all engineering fields owing to their wide range of mechanical properties. Machining of the aluminum alloys is one of the research area that focusses primarily on improved machining with high production rate. In machining of aluminum alloys, some common problems are faced, i.e. surface roughness, build-up edge on cutting edge, burr formation and continuous chip formation. This research was carried on three different aluminum alloys namely AA6061T6, AA5083 and LM6 to investigate the optimum drilling parameters for improved chip morphology, surface roughness, build-up edge and burr formation. The drills were performed by using a MAHO three axis CNC drilling machine with a HSS drill bit at three different spindle speeds and feed rates. It was found that the surface roughness was different for each aluminum alloy and it was found to be decreasing with increased spindle speeds. The BUE was found to be minimum for AA6061T6 and AA5083 but was quite high for LM6.

ABSTRAK

Aloi aluminium sedang meluas digunakan hampir dalam semua bidang kejuruteraan kerana pelbagai mereka sifat-sifat mekanik. Pemesinan daripada aloi aluminium merupakan satu bidang kajian yang memberi tumpuan terutamanya kepada pemesinan yang lebih baik dengan kadar pengeluaran yang tinggi. Dalam pemesinan aloi aluminium, beberapa masalah yang dihadapi, iaitu kekasaran permukaan, kelebihan membina-up pada hujung pemolongsan, pembentukan burr dan pembentukan serpihan berterusan. Kajian ini telah dijalankan di tiga aloi aluminium yang berbeza iaitu AA6061T6, AA5083 dan LM6 untuk menyiasat parameter penggerudian optimum untuk morfologi cip bertambah baik, kekasaran permukaan, BUE dan pembentukan duri. Latih tubi telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan MAHO tiga paksi CNC mesin gerudi dengan gerudi bit HSS di tiga kelajuan gelendong yang berbeza dan kadar snapan. Didapati bahawa kekasaran permukaan adalah berbeza untuk setiap aloi aluminium tetapi ia telah didapati berkurangan dengan peningkatan kelajuan gelendong. BUE didapati minimum untuk AA6061T6 dan AA5083 tetapi ia adalah agak tinggi untuk LM6.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENT | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xvii |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Background | 2 |
| | 1.3 Problem statement | 3 |
| | 1.4 Research Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.5 Scopes of the Study | 4 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 5 |
| | 2.2 Aluminum | 6 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.3 | Aluminum Alloys | 6 |
| 2.4 | Designation of Aluminum Alloys | 7 |
| 2.5 | Aluminum-Silicon system | 8 |
| 2.6 | Iron Intermetallics in Al-Si Alloys | 10 |
| 2.7 | Modification of Al-Si Eutectic | 11 |
| 2.8 | AA5083 Aluminum Alloy | 12 |
| 2.9 | A6061 Aluminum alloy | 13 |
| 2.10 | LM6 Aluminum Alloy | 15 |
| 2.11 | Heat Treatment | 17 |
| | 2.11.1 Solution Heat Treatment | 18 |
| | 2.11.2 Aging Treatment | 20 |
| | 2.11.3 T6 Heat treatment of AA6061 | 22 |
| 2.12 | Machining | 24 |
| 2.13 | Drilling | 25 |
| 2.14 | Drill Nomenclature | 26 |
| 2.15 | Machinability | 28 |
| | 2.15.1 Good Quality Machining | 29 |
| | 2.15.1.1 Tool Wear | 29 |
| | 2.15.1.2 Chip Formation | 30 |
| | 2.15.1.3 Surface Roughness | 36 |
| | 2.15.1.4 Build-up Edge and Burr Formation | 37 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 45 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 45 |
| 3.2 | Casting Procedure | 47 |
| 3.3 | Preliminary machining | 47 |
| 3.4 | T6 Heat treatment | 47 |
| 3.5 | Metallography | 48 |

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| 3.6 | CNC Drilling | 49 |
| 3.7 | Surface roughness | 51 |
| 3.8 | Hardness Test | 51 |
| 3.9 | Chip Morphology | 52 |
| 3.10 | Burr Height | 52 |
| 3.11 | Build-up Edge | 53 |
| 3.12 | Impact Test | 53 |
| 4 | RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS | 54 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 54 |
| 4.2 | Hardness Test Result | 566 |
| 4.3 | Impact Test Results | 577 |
| 4.4 | Surface Roughness | 588 |
| 4.5 | Burr Formation | 599 |
| 4.6 | Chip Morphology | 60 |
| 4.7 | Build-up Edge | 644 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORKS | 688 |
| 5.1 | Conclusions | 688 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations for Future Work | 699 |
| | REFERENCES | 70 |
| | APPENDIX A | 755 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1 | Aluminum designation system | 8 |
| 2.2 | Typical chemical composition of A5083 | 12 |
| 2.3 | Physical properties of A5083 aluminum alloy | 13 |
| 2.4 | Physical properties of A6061 aluminum alloy | 14 |
| 2.5 | Typical chemical composition of A6061 | 15 |
| 2.6 | Typical chemical composition of LM6 | 16 |
| 2.7 | Physical properties of LM6 | 16 |
| 2.8 | Heat treatment procedures | 18 |
| 3.1 | Drilling parameters | 49 |
| 3.2 | Arrangement of experiments on each sample | 50 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 5.1 | Optimum drilling spindle speeds and feed rates | |
| | values based on surface roughness | 68 |
| 5.2 | Optimum drilling spindle speeds and feed rates | |
| | values based on burr height | 69 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Types of aluminum alloys | 7 |
| 2.2 | Al-Si phase diagram | 9 |
| 2.3 | Optical micrographs of Al-12.6Si, Al-12.6Si-0.3Er | 11 |
| 2.4 | Optical microstructure of A5083 | 13 |
| 2.5 | A6061 aluminum alloy ingot | 14 |
| 2.6 | Optical microstructure of A6061 | 15 |
| 2.7 | Optical micrograph of LM6 | 17 |
| 2.8 | Typical aging curve for aluminum alloys | 21 |
| 2.9 | Hardness values of the HST and SHTA | 22 |
| 2.10 | Hardness versus aging time for the aged A6061 | 23 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2.11 | Cutting lip of drill bit | 26 |
| 2.12 | Nomenclature of a twist drill | 27 |
| 2.13 | Typical forms of chip | 31 |
| 2.14 | Different forms of chip of A6061 | 32 |
| 2.15 | SEM images of chip obtained during the drilling of aluminum alloys at different spindle speeds and feed rates | 35 |
| 2.16 | Clean cutting edge and the build-up edge cutting process | 38 |
| 2.17 | Build-up edge formation | 39 |
| 2.18 | Optical microscope photos of drill bits for build-up edge | 41 |
| 2.19 | Burr model for drilling operations | 41 |
| 2.20 | Burr size produced by HSS drill at | |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| | spindle speed of 1320rpm and 0.036mm/rev | 42 |
| 2.21 | Effects of drilling parameters on burr height | |
| | drilling of hybrid MMC composites | 43 |
| 3.1 | Research methodology flow chart | 46 |
| 3.2 | Optical microscope | 48 |
| 3.3 | CNC drilling machine | 50 |
| 3.4 | Surface profilometer | 51 |
| 3.5 | Height gauge | 52 |
| 3.6 | Macroscopic equipped with camera | 53 |
| 4.1 | Optical micrographs of A6061T6, A5083 and LM6 | 55 |
| 4.2 | Hardness test results | 57 |
| 4.3 | Energy absorbed during impact test | 58 |
| 4.4 | Surface roughness values for each experiment | |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| | on each sample | 59 |
| 4.5 | Formation of burrs at each hole for each sample | 60 |
| 4.6 | Chips collected for each experiment | |
| | on A6061T6 | 61 |
| 4.7 | Chips collected for each experiment | |
| | on A5083 | 62 |
| 4.8 | Chips collected for each experiment | |
| | on LM6 | 63 |
| 4.9 | BUE formation for A6061T6 | 65 |
| 4.10 | BUE formation for A5083 | 66 |
| 4.11 | BUE formation for LM6 | 67 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| AA | - | Aluminum alloy |
| wt% | - | Weight percent |
| % | - | Percentage |
| CNC | - | Computer numerical control |
| BUE | - | Build-up edge |
| rpm | - | Revolution per minute |
| BHN | - | Brinell hardness number |
| VHN | - | Vickers hardness number |
| GP | - | Guinier Preston |
| SHT | - | Solution heat treated |
| SHTA | - | Solution heat treated and artificially aged |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| A | Surface roughness values for each experiment on each sample and Formation of burrs at each hole for each sample | 74 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Aluminum alloys are being given a big attention by automotive, aerospace and train companies because these aluminum alloys can replace the steel and cast iron mechanical components as they are lighter and have high-strength. The weight savings will lead to a reduction in the fuel consumption and environmental impact. The 6xxx, 5xxx and LM6 aluminum alloys which possess a number of important properties as medium strength, formability, weldability, corrosion resistance and low cost, are being extensively studied. The A6061 aluminum alloy is a heat treatable precipitation hardening alloy that contains magnesium and silicon as the major alloying elements and has good mechanical properties and is one of the worth aluminum alloys that is highly demanded by automotive and aerospace industries (Demir and Gündüz, 2009). Current research has shown that the strength of aluminum alloys in general can be improved significantly by the addition of alloying elements such as Cu, Mg, Ti and Li. The Mg_2Si intermetallic compound is reinforced by in-situ in aluminum based composites that exhibit high melting temperature, low density, high hardness, low thermal expansion coefficient and high elastic modulus. Contrary to this, the presence of coarse primary and eutectic Mg_2Si phases lead to poor mechanical properties. For the present technological productions, efforts have been made to develop near net shape from these aluminum alloys but some level of

finishing is need to be done to complete the assembly process (Ramulu, Rao and Kao, 2002). Therefore, it is important to establish optimum machining parameters for different aluminum alloys based on silicon and magnesium content for different hardness values for better production rates and good surface roughness, since very little information is available about the optimum machining parameters for a better surface roughness of A6061T6, LM6 and A5083 containing different weight percent of Si and Mg. Therefore, this study is concerned to establish a comparison of very basic optimized machining parameters for these alloys by performing drilling operations for surface roughness.

1.2 Problem Background

It has been aforementioned that there is an increasing trend of using aluminum alloys in automotive and aerospace industries as cost-effective, environment-friendly lightweight materials and some of them are renowned A6061T6, A5083 and LM6 aluminum alloys. For mass production of these aluminum alloys, it becomes imperative to look at the mechanical properties, machinability and the aftermaths of machining on surface roughness of these aluminum alloys. The term machinability is a broad term and refers to either ease or difficulty of machining a material. The machining of a material includes turning, facing, cutting, lapping, honing, drilling, threading, milling and reaming etc. Among all these machining operations, drilling is the most important machining operation because it contributes 40% of machining operations almost on all the cast parts produced from aluminum alloys in automotive and aerospace industry before final assembly. While performing drilling operations, the chip form is often used to assess the machinability of soft, ductile alloys, especially the aluminum alloys and the chip control is an important issue especially in drilling operations involving ductile work materials such as aluminum alloys. Chip control usually involves two tasks; that of the breaking of chips to avoid the formation of long continuous chips which can become entangled in the machinery, and that of the removal of chips from the cutting zone to prevent damage to the machined surface.

In this study it is aimed to discuss the optimized machining parameters for the above mentioned aluminum alloys for the better surface roughness on the basis of different weight percentages of Si and Mg.

1.3 Problem statement

The cast parts machining properties are of prime importance because these machining operations are accomplished by removal of material from the machined surface and easy machining results in cost effective products.

A6061T6, LM6 and A5083 aluminum alloys are being extensively used in aerospace and automotive industry owing to their light weight and improved mechanical properties and are environmental friendly.

It is found that the machinability of aluminum alloys is mostly defined in terms of reinforced particles in aluminum matrix (metal matrix composites) or high Si content, but there is very little information available about the machinability of aluminum alloys based on Mg content. Therefore, there is the need to investigate for the optimized machining parameters for aluminum alloys based on Si and Mg content

1.4 Research Objectives

It is well known that Si is one of the crucial alloying elements necessary to enhance the fluidity of molten metal for sound castings and also contributes for high hardness and strength of aluminum alloys. Contrary to this it has been found from research that increasing Si content increases the tool wear during material removal

for aluminum alloy castings. Similarly the presence of Mg in aluminum alloys also improves the hardness and strength of aluminum alloys.

Hence, the purpose of this study is to find a comparison of surface roughness between A6061T6, LM6 and A5083 by using different machining parameters based on their different weight percentage of Si and Mg content to achieve acceptable chip forms and improved drill holes in terms of surface roughness.

1.5 Scopes of the Study

The areas of focus of this study are as under:

- 1- Preparation of castings (A6061, A5083 and LM6) by using cast iron permanent mold under similar casting conditions.
- 2- T6 heat treatment of A6061 casting.
- 3- Microstructure examination of the A6061T6, LM6 and A5083 through optical microscope
- 4- Hardness test of the castings
- 5- CNC drilling of the castings at different spindle speed and feed rate.
- 6- Surface roughness test of the samples.
- 7- Chip morphology
- 8- Measurement of bur height

REFERENCES

Abis, S., Boeuf, A., Caciuffo, R., Fiorini, P., Magnani, M., Melone, S., et al. (1985). Investigation of Mg₂Si precipitation in an Al-Mg-Si alloy by small angle neutron scattering. *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 135(2), 181-189.

Barani, A., Amini, S., Paktinat, H. and Tehrani, A. F. (2014). Built-up edge investigation in vibration drilling of Al2024-T6. *Ultrasonics*, 54(5), 1300-1310.

Barbosa, C., Dille, J., Delplancke, J.-L., Rebello, J. M. A. and Acselrad, O. (2006). A microstructural study of flash welded and aged 6061 and 6013 aluminum alloys. *Materials characterization*, 57(3), 187-192.

Batzer, S., Haan, D., Rao, P., Olson, W. and Sutherland, J. (1998). Chip morphology and hole surface texture in the drilling of cast aluminum alloys. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 79(1), 72-78.

Behera, R. and Sutradhar, G. (2012). Machinability of Im6/sicp metal matrix composites with tungsten carbide cutting tool inserts. *ARP journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 7(2), 216-221.

Bonsack, W. (1942). Discussion on the effect of minor alloying elements on aluminum casting alloys. *ASTM Bulletin-117*, 45-59.

Buha, J., Lumley, R., Crosky, A. and Hono, K. (2007). Secondary precipitation in an Al-Mg-Si-Cu alloy. *Acta Materialia*, 55(9), 3015-3024.

Center, M. D. and Associates, M. R. (1972). Machining data handbook: Metcut Research Associates.

Chang, S. S. and Bone, G. M. (2010). Burr height model for vibration assisted drilling of aluminum 6061-T6. Precision Engineering, 34(3), 369-375.

Crepeau, P. (1995). Effect of Iron in Al-Si Casting Alloys: A Critical Review (95-110). Transactions of the American Foundrymen's Society, 103, 361-366.

Crowell, N. and Shivkumar, S. (1995). Solution Treatment Effects in Cast Al-Si-Cu Alloys (95-107). Transactions of the American Foundrymen's Society, 103, 721-726.

Demir, H. and Gündüz, S. (2009). The effects of aging on machinability of 6061 aluminium alloy. Materials & Design, 30(5), 1480-1483.

Dorward, R. and Bouvier, C. (1998). A rationalization of factors affecting strength, ductility and toughness of AA6061-type Al-Mg-Si-(Cu) alloys. Materials Science and Engineering: A, 254(1), 33-44.

Dwivedi, D., Sharma, A. and Rajan, T. (2008). Machining of LM13 and LM28 cast aluminium alloys: Part I. Journal of materials processing technology, 196(1), 197-204.

Ezugwu, E. O. and Lim, S. (1995). The performance of cermet cutting tools when machining an Ni-Cr-Mo (En 24) steel. Lubrication engineering, 51(2).

Gale, W. F. and Totemeier, T. C. (2003). Smithells metals reference book: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Gowri, S. and Samuel, F. (1994). Effect of alloying elements on the solidification characteristics and microstructure of Al-Si-Cu-Mg-Fe 380 alloy. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 25(2), 437-448.

Gruzleski, J. E. and Closset, B. M. (1990). *The treatment of liquid aluminum-silicon alloys*: Amer Foundry Society.

Hamade, R. and Ismail, F. (2005). A case for aggressive drilling of aluminum. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 166(1), 86-97.

Handbook, M. (1989). vol. 16 Machining, “. Cemented Carbides”(ASM International 1989), 71-89.

Kim, J.-D. and Kang, Y.-H. (1997). High-speed machining of aluminium using diamond endmills. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 37(8), 1155-1165.

King, R. (2013). *Handbook of high-speed machining technology*: Springer Science & Business Media.

Kottenstette, J. (1986). Measuring tool-chip interface temperatures. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 108(2), 101-104.

Liu, Y., Asthana, R. and Rohatgi, P. (1991). A map for wear mechanisms in aluminium alloys. *Journal of Materials Science*, 26(1), 99-102. doi: 10.1007/BF00576038

Lung, Y., Lin, M., Lin, H. and Lin, K. (2011). The stamping behavior of an early-aged 6061 aluminum alloy. *Materials & Design*, 32(8), 4369-4375.

Madhu Kumar, Y. and Shankar, U. (2012). Evaluation of mechanical properties of aluminum alloy 6061-glass particulates reinforced metal matrix composites. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)*, 2(5).

Mondolfo, L. F. (1978). Manganese in aluminum alloys. The Manganese Centre, 191 Ave. Charles de Gaulle, 92521 Neuilly sur Seine, France. 1978(Pamphlet).

Ozturk, F., Esener, E., Toros, S. and Picu, C. R. (2010). Effects of aging parameters on formability of 6061-O alloy. *Materials & Design*, 31(10), 4847-4852.

Palanikumar, K. and Muniaraj, A. (2014). Experimental investigation and analysis of thrust force in drilling cast hybrid metal matrix (Al-15% SiC-4% graphite) composites. *Measurement*, 53, 240-250.

Pathak, J. and Ojha, S. (1995). Effect of processing on microstructure and wear characteristics of an Al-4• 5Cu-10Pb alloy. *Bulletin of Materials Science*, 18(8), 975-988.

Pengfei, X., Bo, G., Zhuang, Y., Kaihua, L. and Ganfeng, T. (2010). Effect of erbium on properties and microstructure of Al-Si eutectic alloy. *Journal of rare earths*, 28(6), 927-930.

Pezda, J. (2014). The effect of the T6 heat treatment on hardness and microstructure of the EN AC- AlSi12CuNiMg alloy. *Metalurgija*, 53(1), 63-66.

Ramulu, M., Rao, P. and Kao, H. (2002). Drilling of (Al_2O_3) p/6061 metal matrix composites. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 124(1), 244-254.

Rana, R. and Purohit, R. (2012). Effect of magnesium enhancement on mechanical property and wear behaviour of LM6 aluminum alloy. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research*, 3, 1-5.

Rao, C. P., Bhagyashekar, M. and Viswanath, N. (2014). Machining Behavior of Al6061-Fly Ash Composites. *Procedia Materials Science*, 5, 1593-1602.

Senapati, A. K. and brata Mohanty, S. (2014). A Review on the Effect of Process Parameters on Different Output Parameters During Machining of Several Materials.

Songmene, V., Djebara, A., Zaghbani, I., Kouam, J. and Khettabi, R. (2011). *Machining and machinability of aluminum alloys*: INTECH Open Access Publisher.

Taskesen, A. and Kutukde, K. (2013). Analysis and optimization of drilling parameters for tool wear and hole dimensional accuracy in B 4 C reinforced Al-alloy. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, 23(9), 2524-2536.

Tavitas-Medrano, F., Gruzleski, J., Samuel, F., Valtierra, S. and Doty, H. (2007). 07-015 Artificial Aging Behavior of 319-Type Cast Aluminum Alloys with Mg and Sr Additions. *TRANSACTIONS-AMERICAN FOUNDRYMENS SOCIETY*, 115, 135.

Toenshoff, H., Winkler, H. and Patzke, M. (1984). Chip Formation at High-Cutting Speeds. *High Speed Machining*, 95-100.

Trent, E. M. and Wright, P. K. (2000). *Metal cutting*: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Xu, C., Jiang, Q., Yang, Y., Wang, H. and Wang, J. (2006). Effect of Nd on primary silicon and eutectic silicon in hypereutectic Al–Si alloy. *Journal of alloys and compounds*, 422(1), L1-L4.